



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/928,518	08/13/2001	Peer Kuster	THIELK-007XX	8067

7590 12/23/2002

Bourque & Associates, P.A.
Suite 303
835 Hanover Street
Manchester, NH 03104

[REDACTED] EXAMINER

VU, STEPHEN A

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3636	

DATE MAILED: 12/23/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/928,518	Applicant(s) Kuster et al
	Examiner Stephen Vu	Art Unit 3636
<i>-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --</i>		
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>3</u> MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.		
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Aug 13, 2001</u> 2a) <input type="checkbox"/> This action is FINAL. 2b) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> This action is non-final. 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims 4) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above, claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Claim(s) <u>11</u> is/are allowed. 6) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 7-10</u> is/are rejected. 7) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Claim(s) <u>5 and 6</u> is/are objected to. 8) <input type="checkbox"/> Claims _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers 9) <input type="checkbox"/> The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> The drawing(s) filed on <u>Aug 13, 2001</u> is/are a) <input type="checkbox"/> accepted or b) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) <input type="checkbox"/> The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) <input type="checkbox"/> approved b) <input type="checkbox"/> disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) <input type="checkbox"/> The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) <input type="checkbox"/> Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) <input type="checkbox"/> All b) <input type="checkbox"/> Some* c) <input type="checkbox"/> None of: 1. <input type="checkbox"/> Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. <input type="checkbox"/> Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____. 3. <input type="checkbox"/> Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		
14) <input type="checkbox"/> Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). a) <input type="checkbox"/> The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) <input type="checkbox"/> Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		
Attachment(s) 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____ 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____ 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____		

Art Unit: 3636

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Drawings

3. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description: 10a,10b,23. A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 3636

Claim Objections

4. Claims 1 and 11 are objected to because of the following informalities: There is positive structural recitation between the seat and the tension mechanism in the preamble of claim 1 to indicate that the applicant appears to intend to claim the combination of the two. However, if the applicant does not intend to claim the combination of the seat and tension mechanism, but rather the tension mechanism only, then the applicant should amend the preamble to reflect this intent. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

6. Claim 9 recites the limitation "said wind-up spool" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 3636

(e) the invention was described in-

- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

8. Claims 1-4, 7-8, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by

Bullard'694.

The examiner has assumed that the claims are drawn to the combination of the tension mechanism and the seat, due to the positive structural recitation between the seat and the tension mechanism present in the preamble of claim 1.

Bullard'694 shows a seat having a frame and a tension mechanism comprising a plurality of tension belts (26) having first and second ends and disposed in tension belt receiving areas of the springs (30,32), each of the plurality of tension belts are coupled proximate to at least the first end (22) to a tension belt tensioning device (44a), wherein the vertical displacement of the support side of each of the plurality of springs is less when the plurality of tension belts are under low tension than when they are under higher tension.

With claim 2, the second end of each of the plurality of tension belts is attached to the seat frame (20).

With claim 3, each of the tension belts engages one of the plurality of springs alternately from above and below in a longitudinal direction.

Art Unit: 3636

With claim 4, the first end of each of the plurality of tension bents passes over at least one direction-changing device.

With claim 7, side edges of each of the plurality of tension belts are each positioned adjacent to an inner side of tension belt receiving areas of the springs.

With claim 8, each of the plurality of tension belts pass over and under adjacent cross members formed by each of the springs.

With claim 10, changing the tension of each of the plurality of tension springs adjusts the vertical displacement between seat side members and a central seat portion.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 5-6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

10. Claim 9 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

11. Claim 11 is allowed.

Art Unit: 3636

Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Richardson et al, Hoag, Flint, Bullard '325, Yokota, Maeyaert, Burton, Giese, and Schwarzbich are cited as showing similar types of seat with a tension mechanism.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen A Vu whose telephone number is 703-308-1378. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter M Cuomo can be reached on 703-308-0827. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7687 for regular communications and 703-305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1113.



PETER R. BROWN
PRIMARY EXAMINER



Stephen Vu
Patent Examiner
December 13, 2002